

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/937,970	10/01/2001	Mikko Hanninen	991.1162	8952	
21831	7590 01/29	003			
STEINBERG & RASKIN, P.C.			EXAM	EXAMINER .	
	1140 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS, 15th FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10036-5803			GORDON, STEPHEN T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3612	-	
			DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1.1 20	Application	Applicant(s)				
Splenet d	09/937,970	Hanninen et sk				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit Confirmation No.				
	Gordon	36/2				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet bene	ath the correspondence address -				
Period for Reply		•				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY COMMUNICATION.	IS SET TO EXPIREMONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS				
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3t - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply and the Any reply received by the Office later than three months at term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory of	of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. e mailing date of this communication. ne ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
This action is FINAL . This action is	•					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for the formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	444910, 1000 0.D. 11, 400 0.Q. 21	.				
Claim(s) (- (5	is/are pending in this application.					
Of the above claim(s)						
Claim(s)		is/are allowed.				
Claim(s)		is/are rejected.				
Claim(s)		is/are objected to.				
Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election					
Application Papers	requirement.					
The proposed drawing correction, filed on is approved or disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
The drawing(s) filed on is/are accepted or objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•					
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) or (f).						
All Some* None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
Certified copies flot teceived.						
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449 Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	Notice of	v Summary, PTO-413 f Informal Patent Application, PTO-152				
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-328 (07/01)	w, PTO-948 Other	Part of Paper No.				

Application/Control Number: 09/937,970

Art Unit: 3612

SUPPLEMENTAL DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This supplemental action is supersede the action of paper no. 8 (mailed 12/30/02).
- 2. During a phone conversation on 1/10/03 with applicant's attorney Keith Moore, Mr. Moore clarified that applicant's invention is drawn to the cargo securing device including originally filed claims 1-15. Apparently through a clerical error at the USPTO, papers drawn to a method of handling sludge were inadvertently included in the instant file wrapper. The error has been corrected, and the following is directed toward applicant's intended invention. Any inconvenience caused applicant by this apparent error is regretted.
- 3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

figure 1B vs figure 1C vs figure 1E vs figure 2 vs figure 3A vs figure 4 vs figure 5

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations

Application/Control Number: 09/937,970 Page 3

Art Unit: 3612

of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

AS THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF

- 4. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:
 No claims appear to be generic.
- 5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each configuration includes a potentially patentably distinct load securing embodiment in the varying types of attached elements.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The state of the s

Art Unit: 3612

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gordon whose telephone number is (703) 308-2556.

stg

January 27, 2003

Modo-1/27107

STEPHENT. GORDON PRIMARY EXAMINER